

Connecticut HUSKY A Waiver

Behavioral Health Services Carve Out Methodology

Presented at the March 9, 2005 BH Oversight Committee meeting

Overview

- ⌘ Base Data
- ⌘ Encounters
- ⌘ RFI
- ⌘ Financials
- ⌘ Administration
- ⌘ Reinsurance
- ⌘ Summary

Base Data

- ⌘ Three sources
- ⌘ encounters
- ⌘ RFI
- ⌘ financials
- ⌘ Coverage grid
 - ⌘ detailed grid of services to be carved out
- ⌘ Base year SFY03
- ⌘ Summarized and analyzed on PMPM basis
- ⌘ Trend to carve out implementation date

Base Data - Encounters

- ⌘ Criteria

- ⌘ encounters flagged in correspondence with BH coverage grid
- ⌘ flagged based on procedure code, diagnosis code, provider type, etc.

⌘ Adjustments

- ⌘ completion
- ⌘ MCO credibility
- ⌘ program changes
- ⌘ relational modeling

Base Data - RFI

- ⌘ Supplemental data request from DSS
- ⌘ Corresponds to BH coverage grid
- ⌘ BH professional services
 - ⌘ estimated based on one MCO's reported data
- ⌘ Adjusted for reinsurance
- ⌘ Blended for MCO credibility

Base Data - Financials

- ⌘ MCO submitted financial data
- ⌘ Not specific to BH coverage grid
- ⌘ BH inpatient and BH outpatient lines
- ⌘ BH professional services estimate
- ⌘ Adjusted for reinsurance
- ⌘ Blended for MCO credibility

Base Data - Trend

- ⌘ Applied to base data to project SFY06

⌘ Applied by category of service

⌘ BH inpatient

⌘ BH outpatient

⌘ professional services

⌘ Developed based on analysis of historical HUSKY A encounter and financial data

⌘ Consistent with HUSKY A rate setting process

Base Data - Blending

⌘ Three sources of data

⌘ blended to yield one comprehensive estimate of BH services carve out PMPM

⌘ Best estimate based on available data sources

⌘ Reasonability checks

BH Carve Out Estimates

	SFY06	10/1/05 – 6/30/06
BH Services PMPM	\$ 19.76	\$ 19.85
BH Total Dollars	\$ 79,650,917	\$ 60,419,337
Projected MMs	4,030,758	3,044,372

Administration

⌘ Determination of BH-related administration

⌘ supplemental data requests to MCOs

⌘ follow up communication with MCOs

⌘ BH subcontractor financials

⌘ Administration as a percentage of BH service cost

BH Administration Estimates

	SFY06	10/1/05 – 6/30/06
BH Administration PMPM	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.48
BH Administration Total Dollars	\$ 5,957,889	\$ 4,519,366
Projected MMs	4,030,758	3,044,372

BH Reinsurance

- ⌘ Reinsurance data (SFY03) pulled from database
- ⌘ Adjustments made to each base data source
- ⌘ Riverview and non-Riverview amounts determined based on database
- ⌘ Base trended to appropriate time periods

BH Reinsurance Estimates

	SFY06	10/1/05 – 6/30/06
Non-Riverview PMPM	\$ 3.64	\$ 3.66
Non-Riverview Total Dollars	\$ 14,671,960	\$ 11,142,401
Riverview PMPM	\$ 4.77	\$ 4.79
Riverview Total Dollars	\$ 19,226,717	\$ 14,582,542
Projected MMs	4,030,758	3,044,372

Riverview Reinsurance

- ⌘ DSS reinsures HUSKY MCO cash payments to Riverview under reinsurance
- ⌘ Riverview reinsurance expenditures have been off-budget for several years
- ⌘ Under the carve-out, Riverview stays will be shadow claimed, which means Riverview expenditures will remain off-budget
- ⌘ Riverview expenditures are included in the waiver analysis because they are Medicaid expenditures for which the state receives a Federal share

Summary

- ⌘ BH coverage grid detail
- ⌘ Three base data sources blended to determine base carve out PMPM
- ⌘ Base PMPMs trended forward using trends consistent with capitation rate setting
- ⌘ BH administration based on supplemental data
- ⌘ BH reinsurance pulled from reinsurance database

Next Steps

- ⌘ DSS requested Mercer review MCO operations including behavioral health
- ⌘ administration
- ⌘ finance
- ⌘ claims
- ⌘ systems
- ⌘ Reviews to be completed Feb-March 2005
- ⌘ Final BH carve-out amount to be negotiated with each health plan

Connecticut Community KidCare - BHP

Methodology for Setting

Rates and Fees

Terminology

- ⌘ The Department uses the term *rates* when referring to payments that are provider specific.
- ⌘ The term *fee* is used for payments that are uniform across all providers and published on a fee schedule.

Current HUSKY Program

⌘ Many providers are subject to uniform fee schedules, which vary across the four MCOs

⌘ Other providers have rates that they have negotiated with the MCOs. Rates for a given service may vary across MCOs and across providers

Rates and Fees under KidCare

⌘ The Departments will establish a single set of rates and fees for each provider type and service

⌘ Proposed methods balance need for fair and efficient rate methods with the need to avoid significant disruptions in provider revenue

Provider specific rates

⌘ General hospital - inpatient, PHP, IOP, EDT

⌘ Psychiatric hospital - inpatient, PHP, IOP, EDT

⌘ Psychiatric residential treatment facility - inpatient

⌘ Alcohol and drug center - acute detoxification, ambulatory detoxification

⌘ Mental health clinic - PHP, IOP, EDT

⌘ Methadone maintenance clinic - methadone maintenance

Provider specific rates

⌘ SFY 2003 utilization

⌘ Rates in effect as of March 1, 2004

Rate = MCO 1 (Volume * Rate) + MCO 2 (Volume * Rate)

MCO 1 Volume + MCO 2 Volume

Uniform fees

⌘ Hospital routine outpatient

⌘ Independent practitioners

· Psychiatrists

- Psychologists
- APRNs
- Masters level clinicians (LCSW, LMFT, LPC, LADC)

⌘ Home health care agency services

⌘ School-based health center services

Uniform Fees

⌘ SFY 2004 utilization

⌘ Fees in effect as of December 2004

Fee = MCO 1 (Volume * Fee) + MCO 2 (Volume * Fee)

MCO 1 Volume + MCO 2 Volume

Uniform Fees

⌘ MH Clinic routine outpatient services

⌘ SFY03 encounter data used to model volume and expenditure allocation across services

⌘ Total volume and expenditures then increased to match SFY03 RFI data

⌘ Fees adjusted to uniform percentage of Medicare

⌘ Selected fees exempted from Medicare adjustment to support access (medication management, group therapy, testing)

Future Adjustments

⌘ Departments propose to adjust behavioral health provider rates under the waiver when rate adjustments are appropriated for the HUSKY MCOs

⌘ Governor's budget provides for 2% in SFY06 and 0% in SFY07

⌘ Departments will invest final appropriated rate increase in behavioral health rates and review any proposed rate methodology in advance with the Behavioral Health Oversight Committee.